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Summary

Odours emitted from livestock and industrial facilities are unpleasant, and they can cause tension between producers and nearby residents and negatively affect their quality of life. It is necessary to develop proper air cleaning technologies for odour abatement. However, current technologies are limited by the removal efficiency and by the selectivity towards certain odorants. In particular, removal of reduced sulphur compounds is a challenge. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), as a set of chemical reactions to generate OH- radicals, are considered as an alternative technology to overcome the limitations, because the OH- radical is a relatively non-selective reactant and can react with a wide range of compounds with high reaction rate. The key hypothesis of the current PhD-project is that the odorous compounds emitted from livestock and industrial facilities, especially reduced sulphur compounds, can be effectively removed by the AOP-based reactors. The objectives were: 1) to develop AOP-based reactors for odour abatement, 2) to assess the removal efficiency of odorous compounds by using AOPs, 3) to characterize the AOP processes in detail, including assessment of the role of hydroxyl radicals, 4) to investigate relevant by-products after the AOPs from the reactors, and 5) to identify possibilities for optimization of the AOP processes.

Two types of AOP-based reactors were designed and assessed: 1) photocatalytic monolith reactors (UV/TiO$_2$) and 2) wet scrubbers run with the peroxone process (O$_3$ + H$_2$O$_2$). The processing conditions were attempted to be close to realistic conditions, such as high air flow rates and low residence time, low initial concentration (ppbv level), room temperature and ambient pressure. Proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) was used to monitor the concentrations of the odorous compounds before and after the reactor, as well as to investigate the Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic data for photocatalytic oxidation of reduced organic sulphur compounds with low adsorption. By-products from the two reactors were detected by PTR-MS, gas chromatography with mass spectrometry and gas chromatography with sulphur chemiluminescence detection.

Two photocatalytic monolith reactors were assessed. A bench-scale reactor, with one TiO$_2$ filter installed, was used for evaluating potential influencing factors (initial concentration, air flow rates and UV intensity), establishing Langmuir-Hinshelwood model for kinetic studies of reduced sulphur compounds (methanethiol (MT), dimethyl sulphide (DMS), and dimethyl disulphide (DMDS)) and for investigating their by-product. More than 80% of the concentrations of reduced sulphur compounds can typically be removed at a residence time greater than 0.12 s and at the initial concentration lower than 150 ppbv. Data from PTR-MS were fitted very well by the Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic model (generally $R^2 > 0.99$) with uncertainties of $< 20\%$ on Langmuir adsorption constants (K) and reaction rate constants (k). It is the first time to present the uncertainty of the kinetic model for highly volatile compounds. According to the investigation of the by-products, odour was significantly removed by photocatalytic oxidation of MT and DMDS. However, around 2% of DMS was oxidised to MT, which has a low odour threshold value (0.07 ppbv), to the extent that
degradation of DMS is predicted (based on odour threshold values) to increase odour. Furthermore, all reduced organic sulphur compounds produced formaldehyde, which is a carcinogen. In order to reduce the production of by-products, it is suggested to connect an additional TiO$_2$ filter in series to remove MT and formaldehyde. In a pilot-scale reactor with three TiO$_2$ filters installed, removal of eight odorous compounds (reduced sulfur compounds, carboxylic acids and 1-butanol) was tested and more than 80% of them could be removed at a residence time greater than 0.1 s. However, H$_2$S could not be effectively removed from the current photocatalytic reactors, and it is suggested that the application of the investigated technology is best suited for the abatement of odours that do not contain H$_2$S.

Two types of wet scrubbers run with the peroxone process (O$_3$ + H$_2$O$_2$) were also investigated. More than 90% of DMS could be removed in a bubble reactor with the peroxone process. Therefore, a packed-bed wet scrubber with direct air injection of O$_3$ was assessed. Both H$_2$S and DMS could be removed at with efficiency above 90%. However, the removal of MT was not as high as H$_2$S and DMS (23.5%). It is suggested that O$_3$ is the main reactant in the packed-bed scrubber, and that OH· radicals play a minor role under the conditions applied. Therefore, it is necessary to improve the wet scrubber. In addition, there was an excess O$_3$ emission, and further optimization is needed to optimise the O$_3$ uptake and to reduce the outlet concentration of O$_3$.

In this PhD-project a thorough examination of the potential of using AOP for air cleaning with focus on odour reduction and removal of volatile sulfur compounds. Two AOP-based reactors were assessed at processing conditions close to realistic operating conditions. The photocatalytic monolith reactor could effectively remove most of the odorous compounds, except H$_2$S, and the packed bed wet scrubber was effective on removal of H$_2$S and DMS, but not on MT. Therefore, appropriate techniques have to be carefully selected to maximise the odour removal. Further research is necessary to optimise the reactor setup and apply the reactor on site.
Resumé


Formålene med projektet var følgende: 1) At evaluere rense-effektivitet for relevante lughtoffer ved anvendelse af AOP, 2) At opnå detailreret karakterisering af AOP inklusive en evaluerung af OH-radikalers rolle, 3) At undersøge forekomsten af relevante reaktionsbiprodukter ved forskellige AOP, 4) At afklare muligheder for optimering af AOP, og 5) at udvikle AOP-reaktorer til eksperimentelle undersøgelser i laboratorieskala.


To forskellige fotokatalytiske reaktorer blev anvendt til de eksperimentelle undersøgelser. En mindre reaktor i laboratorie-skala med et enkelt TiO₂-filter blev undersøgt med henblik på at evaluere faktorer af væsentlig betydning for effektiviteten (start-koncentration, lufttastighed og UV-intensitet), at anvende Langmuir-Hinshelwood-modellen til at beskrive nedbrydningskinetik for reducerede svovlforbindelser (methanthiol; MT, dimethylsulfid; DMS og dimethyldisulfid; DMDS) og at undersøge dannelsen af biprodukter. Resultaterne viser, at de tre svovlforbindelser kan fjernes med en effektivitet på >80% ved en opholdstid
på >0.12 sekunder og en start-koncentration på <150 ppb. Langmuir-Hinshelwood-udtrykket kunne fites til eksperimentelle PTR-MS-data med meget god overensstemmelse (R² > 0.99). Usikkerhederne på de opnåede adsorptionskoefficienter og hastighedskonstanter var i de fleste tilfælde mindre end 20%, hvilket opfattes som tilfredsstillende for denne type stoffer. Dette er første gang, at usikkerhederne på udledte Langmuir-Hinshelwood parametre er angivet for stoffer med lav adsorption. Undersøgelser af de dannede biprodukter viste, at den samlede lugt forventes at kunne fjernes for MT og DMDS. Imidlertid gav fjernelse af DMS anledning til dannelse af MT i et udbytte på ca. 2%, og da MT har en meget lav lugtærskel (0.07 ppb) medfører dette, at fotokatalytisk nedbrydning af DMS ikke fjernes den del af lugten, der kommer fra DMS, men tværtimod kan føre til forøget lugt.

Desuden gav alle de undersøgte forbindelser anledning til dannelse af formaldehyd i små mængder. Da formaldehyd er sundhedsskadeligt og kræftfremkaldende, skal dette imødegås ved yderligere optimering af processen, f.eks. ved at anvende flere fotokatalytiske filter i serie. I en større pilotreaktor med tre UV-belyste TiO₂-filtre forbundet i serie, blev fjernelsen af otte lughtoffer undersøgt (suvolforskynder, flygtige carboxylsyre og 1-butanol), og koncentrationerne kunne fjernes med mere end 80% effektivitet ved opholdstider på >1 sekund. Imidlertid viste forøgene tydeligt, at H₂S ikke kunne fjernes effektivt i den anvendte fotokatalytiske reaktor, og resultaterne tyder dermed på, at teknologien bedst kan anvendes til emissioner, hvor H₂S ikke er et vigtigt lugtstoff.


## List of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-BA</td>
<td>1-butanoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-BuOH</td>
<td>1-butanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-PrOH</td>
<td>1-propanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-VA</td>
<td>1-valeric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOPs</td>
<td>advanced oxidation processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMDS</td>
<td>dimethyl disulphide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS</td>
<td>dimethyl sulphide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMTS</td>
<td>dimethyl trisulphide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>ethanethiol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>formic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FID</td>
<td>flame ionisation detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPD</td>
<td>flame photometric detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>gas chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Langmuir adsorption constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-H model</td>
<td>Langmuir-Hinshelwood model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID</td>
<td>Multiple Ion Detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIMS</td>
<td>membrane inlet mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>methanethiol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAV</td>
<td>odour activity value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTV</td>
<td>odour threshold value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>propionic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR-MS</td>
<td>proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>sulphur chemiluminescence detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPME</td>
<td>solid-phase microextraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>trimethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction

1.1 Offensive odour emissions from livestock and industrial facilities

Odour is caused by one or more volatile odorous compounds, and the concentration can be detected by the human olfaction. Odours emitted from livestock and industrial facilities are unpleasant and can be a severe nuisance. They may cause tension between producers and nearby residents, due to the offensive smell which has a negative impact on quality of life [1-3].

1.1.1 Methods of measuring odour and odorous compounds

Common method for measuring odour is by the dilution-to-threshold approach which is also known as dynamic olfactometry. The measurement is based on the use of human panellists and is defined as the dilution factor acquired to reach the detection threshold [4]. An odour threshold value (OTV) is defined as the lowest concentration of an odorous compound which can be detected by the human panellist, and OTV can be used to evaluate the odour nuisance from the source. However, the method normally requires the sample collection from the source, and the storage of samples can be up to 30 h before the analysis according to the European Committee for Standardization [5]. Therefore, it is difficult to measure odours which the odorous compounds are reactive, such as methanethiol which can be oxidised to dimethyl disulphide (DMDS) [6]. In addition, some odorous compounds such as 4-methyl phenol and carboxylic acids have poor recovery in bags and olfactometry, which is another difficulty to accurately measure the odours [7]. Furthermore, the measurement is depending on the human panellists, so the natural variation associated with different humans may influence the measurement even though odor measurements are attempted to be standardized by a common reference compound, 1-butanol. Therefore, measuring the concentration of the odorous compounds is most relevant in addition to using dynamic olfactometry.

Methods for measuring the odorous compounds can be divided into two types: 1) laboratory methods which require sample collection from the source and 2) on-line methods which can directly measure the source on-site. The laboratory methods include gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and other detectors, such as flame ionisation detector (FID) for general organic compounds, and flame photometric detector (FPD) and sulphur chemiluminescence detector (SCD) specific for sulphur compounds [8-13]. Current sampling methods coupled with the laboratory methods include sampling with adsorbent tubes [14, 15], sampling bags and canisters [16, 17] and solid-phase microextraction (SPME) [16, 18-20]. All the chromatographic methods have high selectivity and especially with the GC-MS method, it is able to identify odorous compounds from the sample based on a library search and comparison with standards. However, the laboratory methods have low
time resolution due to the long analysing time. Furthermore, sampling bags and canisters have also low recovery of some odorous compounds [6, 7]. The on-line methods, which include membrane inlet mass spectrometry (MIMS) and proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS), have higher time resolution and both are portable and have high sensitivity [21-23]. However, the humidity in sample air can influence the results obtained by MIMS, which make quantification difficult and in addition high fragmentation makes data interpretation challenging [22]. In contrast, measurement by PTR-MS is more sensitive, more selective due to less ion fragmentation, and has a better time resolution. Both MIMS and PTR-MS require additional methods for identifying the odorous compounds unambiguously. Therefore, the combination of the two complementary methods (laboratory method and on-line method) can substantially advance the measurement of odorous compounds.

1.1.2 Important odorous compounds from livestock and industrial facilities

Hundreds of compounds have been identified and quantified from livestock and industrial facilities [24-28]. However, many of the compounds cannot be detected by the human panellists, since the concentration of those compounds are lower than the OTV. To determine the importance of the odorous compounds, odor activity values (OAVs) have been used [23]. OAV can be calculated as mean concentration from the source divided by OTV, so the lower the OTV of the odorous compound, the more likely it is that the odorant is important. In the intensive pig production, the compounds estimated to mainly contribute to odour from the pig house are H₂S, methanethiol (MT), 1-butanoic acid (1-BA) and 4-methyl phenol [4, 23]. It should be emphasized that the application of OAV is valid only under the assumption that antagonistic and synergistic effects can be disregarded. OAVs of the single compounds can be assumed to be additive [29], although this assumption is difficult to verify. Another approach to determine the key odorous compounds is to correlate odour measured by sensory evaluation to odorous compounds measured by analytical methods by multiple regression statistical methods [4, 30].

Important odorous compounds related to livestock and industrial facilities generally belong to the following chemical groups: N compounds, S compounds, aldehyde, alcohol, carboxylic acids, and phenols [15, 23, 24, 31, 32]. In Table 1 some of the most common odorous compounds are listed with their emission source, odour description and the odour threshold value.
Table 1 Characteristics of main odorous compounds from livestock and industrial facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Emission sources</th>
<th>Odour description</th>
<th>OTV (ppbv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ammonia</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Food industry, Alumina refinery</td>
<td>Sharp, pungent, suffocating</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Food industry</td>
<td>Fishy</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indole</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Tobacco factory</td>
<td>Intense faecal</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl indole</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment</td>
<td>Faecal odour</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Paper industry, Water reclamation, Food industry</td>
<td>Rotten eggs</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS₂</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Food industry</td>
<td>Sweet, ether-like</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Paper industry, Alumina refinery</td>
<td>Rotten cabbage</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Alumina refinery</td>
<td>Strong, shunk-like</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Paper industry, Water reclamation, Food industry</td>
<td>Decayed vegetables</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMDS</td>
<td>Livestock, Wastewater treatment, Fertilizer industry, Paper industry, Food industry</td>
<td>Putrid garlic</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMTS</td>
<td>Livestock, Fertilizer industry, Water reclamation, Food industry</td>
<td>Strong, onion-garlic</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aldehydes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>Pungent, suffocating</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>Food industry, Alumina refinery</td>
<td>Pungent, fruity</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>Pungent</td>
<td>33000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>Livestock, Bakery, Food industry</td>
<td>Weak, ethereal, vinous</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-PrOH</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>Mild alcohol-like</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-BuOH</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>Strong pungent</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carboxylic acids**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>OTV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>Pungent, penetrating</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Livestock, Food industry</td>
<td>Sour, vinegar-like, pungent</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Livestock, Food industry</td>
<td>Pungent, rancid</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-BA</td>
<td>Livestock, Tobacco factory, Food industry</td>
<td>Sweaty, rancid</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phenols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>OTV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>Irritant</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-methyl phenol</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a TMA - trimethylamine, MT - methanethiol, ET - ethanethiol, DMS - dimethyl sulphide, DMDS - dimethyl disulphide, DMTS - dimethyl trisulphide, MeOH - methanol, EtOH - ethanol, 1-PrOH - 1-propanol, 1-BuOH - 1-butanol, FA - formic acid, AA - acetic acid, PA - propionic acid, 1-BA - 1-butanoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b [23-28, 33-37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c OTV - odour threshold value [38].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 Abatement technology

Considerable efforts have been made in the past few decades to develop odour abatement solutions. Current technologies include biofiltration techniques [22, 39] and absorption [40-42] at the exhaust outlets. For livestock production, slurry treatment [43-45] and animal diet manipulation [46, 47] have also been applied for odour abatement. However, the effect of the removal on odorous compounds is highly variable in different processing conditions, abatement technologies and chemical properties of the odorous compounds. The average odour removal using biofilters was 51% [39] and Hartung et al. even found a higher removal on odour intensity (80%) on biofiltration [48]. Furthermore, Liu et al. have found that the removal efficiencies of most odorous compounds in a biofilter were above 80%. However, reduced organic sulphur compounds could not be efficiently removed especially MT and DMS (13% and 15%). This result is in agreement with several other studies as well [22, 49, 50]. Currently, scrubbing systems are highly selective with respect to the chemical properties of the odorous compounds: an average up to 96% efficiency could reach when removing NH₃ by acid scrubbing [39, 40], whereas H₂S could be effectively removed using base scrubbing [41] and the base scrubbing is also applicable for removal of other compounds that can be deprotonated, such as MT, 4-methyl phenol, and
carboxylic acids. Furthermore, in livestock production, reduction in dietary crude protein concentrations could only decrease manure ammonia emission, but it could not diminish manure odour offensiveness and VFA concentrations [46]. Generally additives can best be utilized in the manure pit by preventing the odour emission, but it does not work for the surfaces in the animal houses. Therefore, it could only be considered as an early stage of odour abatement treatment. The reduction of ammonia emission could be achieved with acidifying manure or applying additives [44]. Overall, among the important odorous compounds, reduced organic sulphur compounds (H₂S, MT, DMS and DMDS) have lower removal efficiencies than other compounds [22, 49]. Hence, it is necessary to develop new methodologies to remove the reduced sulphur compounds.

Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs), as a set of chemical oxidation procedures [51], have originally been introduced into the removal of organic and inorganic contaminants in the water treatment processes. The AOPs generally involves two stages of oxidation: 1) the formation of relatively strong oxidants (e.g., hydroxyl (OH·) radicals) and 2) the reaction of these oxidants with the contaminants. OH· radicals are usually generated by oxidising water with different oxidants such as ozone, H₂O₂, catalyst and/or photons (Figure 1), and the OH· radical has a high oxidation potential (Table 2), and can react quickly with a wide range of odorous compounds via a non-selective process in both aqueous and gas phases [52]. Therefore, in the past decades, AOPs are considered as a potential technology to treat contaminated air because they can overcome the limitations from other odour reduction technologies [53]. Table 3 provide the reaction rate constants between key odorous compounds and OH· radicals [54]. Due to the high reaction rate constants, AOPs have potential as new technologies to reduce the emission of odorous compounds in the air from livestock and industrial facilities, especially the reduced sulphur compounds. Furthermore, the AOPs can be run at room temperature and at ambient pressure. The AOPs studied in this PhD-project were photocatalysis based on UV irradiation of TiO₂, and peroxone process (O₃/H₂O₂) in wet scrubbers.
Figure 1  Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs)

Table 2 Standard redox potentials of some oxidising species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidising species</th>
<th>$E^0$ (V) a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}_2$/H$_2$O</td>
<td>1.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$_2$/Cl$^-$</td>
<td>1.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HClO/Cl$^-$</td>
<td>1.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HClO$_2$/Cl$^-$</td>
<td>1.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO$_2$/MnO$_2$</td>
<td>1.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$/H$_2$O</td>
<td>1.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$/O$_2$</td>
<td>2.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive charged hole (h$^+$)</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/H$_2$O</td>
<td>2.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH$^-$ /H$_2$O</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F$_2$/F</td>
<td>2.866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a $E^0$ - standard redox potential [55-58]
### Table 3 Characteristics of odorous compounds associated with livestock and industrial facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Reaction Aqueous phase (L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>k b</th>
<th>Reaction Gas phase (298 K) (cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ammonia</td>
<td>NH₃ + OH⁻ → H₂O + ·NH₂</td>
<td>9.70×10⁷</td>
<td>NH₃ + OH⁻ → H₂O + NH₂</td>
<td>1.83×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>(CH₃)₃N + OH⁻ → [(CH₃)₃N]⁺ + H₂O + ·CH₂N(CH₃)₂</td>
<td>1.30×10¹⁰</td>
<td>(CH₃)₃N + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>6.11×10⁻¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indole</td>
<td>indole + OH⁻ → indole OH-adduct</td>
<td>3.20×10¹⁰</td>
<td>indole + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>1.54×10⁻¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl indole</td>
<td>3-methylindole + OH⁻ → 3-methylindole OH-adduct</td>
<td>3.30×10¹⁰</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>H₂S + OH⁻ → H₂O + HS⁻</td>
<td>1.50×10¹⁰</td>
<td>H₂S + OH⁻ → H₂O + SH</td>
<td>4.70×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS₂</td>
<td>CS₂ + OH⁻ → SC(OH)S⁻</td>
<td>8.00×10⁹</td>
<td>CS₂ + OH⁻ → CS₂OH</td>
<td>8.00×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>CH₃S⁻ + OH⁻ → CH₃S⁻ + OH⁻</td>
<td>6.00×10⁹</td>
<td>CH₃SH + OH⁻ → CH₃S⁻ + H₂O</td>
<td>8.86×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>CH₃SH + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>4.65×10¹¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS</td>
<td>(CH₃)₂S + OH⁻ → CH₃S(OH)CH₃</td>
<td>1.90×10¹⁰</td>
<td>(CH₃)₂S + OH⁻ → (CH₃)₂S OH</td>
<td>1.70×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMDS</td>
<td>(CH₃)₂S₂ + OH⁻ → [CH₃SSCH₃]⁻·⁻ + OH⁻</td>
<td>1.70×10¹⁰</td>
<td>(CH₃)₂S₂ + OH⁻ → H₂O + CH₃SCH₂⁻</td>
<td>4.80×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMTS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(CH₃)₂S₂ + OH⁻ → CH₃OH + CH₃S</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aldehydes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>HCHO + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>1.00×10⁹</td>
<td>HCHO + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>1.00×10⁻¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>CH₃CHO + OH⁻ → H₂O + CH₃CO</td>
<td>3.60×10⁹</td>
<td>CH₃CHO + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>1.50×10⁻¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>CH₃OH + OH⁻ → H₂O + ·CH₂OH</td>
<td>8.30×10⁸</td>
<td>CH₃OH + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>9.48×10⁻¹³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>CH₃CH₂OH + OH⁻ → H₂O + CH₃CHOH</td>
<td>2.20×10⁹</td>
<td>CH₃CH₂OH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>3.47×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-PrOH</td>
<td>1-C₃H₇OH + OH⁻ → H₂O + CH₃CH₂CHOH</td>
<td>2.80×10⁹</td>
<td>1-C₃H₇OH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>5.50×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-BuOH</td>
<td>1-C₄H₉OH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>4.20×10⁹</td>
<td>1-C₄H₉OH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>8.48×10⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxylic acids</td>
<td>Equilibrium constant</td>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>Equilibrium constant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA HCOOH + OH⁻ → H₂O + ·CO₂⁻</td>
<td>1.30×10⁸</td>
<td>C₆H₅OH + OH⁻ → dihydroxycyclohexadienyl</td>
<td>6.60×10⁹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCOO⁻ + OH⁻ → H₂O + ·CO₂⁻</td>
<td>3.20×10⁹</td>
<td>C₆H₅O⁻ + OH⁻ → HOC₆H₅O⁻</td>
<td>9.60×10⁹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃COOH + OH⁻ → H₂O + ·CH₂CO₂⁻</td>
<td>1.50×10⁷</td>
<td>4-CH₃C₆H₄OH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>1.20×10¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃COO⁻ + OH⁻ → H₂O + ·CH₂CO₂⁻</td>
<td>1.00×10⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA C₂H₅COOH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>6.20×10⁸</td>
<td>C₂H₅COOH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>1.20×10¹²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₅COO⁻ + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>1.20×10⁹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-BA 1-C₃H₇COOH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>2.20×10⁹</td>
<td>1-C₃H₇COOH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>1.79×10¹²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-C₃H₇COO⁻ + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>2.00×10⁹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>Equilibrium constant</td>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>Equilibrium constant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenol C₆H₅OH + OH⁻ → dihydroxycyclohexadienyl</td>
<td>6.60×10⁹</td>
<td>C₆H₅OH + OH⁻ → Products</td>
<td>2.81×10¹¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₆H₅O⁻ + OH⁻ → HOC₆H₅O⁻</td>
<td>9.60×10⁹</td>
<td>4-CH₃C₆H₄OH + OH⁻ → products</td>
<td>4.96×10¹¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a TMA - trimethylamine, MT - methanethiol, ET - ethanethiol, DMS - dimethyl sulphide, DMDS - dimethyl disulphide, DMTS - dimethyl trisulphide, MeOH - methanol, EtOH - ethanol, 1-PrOH - 1-propanol, 1-BuOH - 1-butanol, FA - formic acid, AA - acetic acid, PA - propionic acid, 1-BA - 1-butanoic acid

b [59-92]
1.3 Hypothesis and objectives

The application of AOPs in drinking water and wastewater treatment plants has been carried out in the past few decades [93-99]. And it has been proven to be a promising technique on the abatement of odorous compounds [100-99]. However, there is limited information on the application of AOP techniques in real livestock and industrial facilities where the air flow rate is normally high with relatively low concentration of those odorous compounds (ppbv level).

Another interesting issue is that in most of the studies, odorous compounds have been mostly measured by GC/FID, GC/FPD or GC/MS [10-13]. Application of direct online MS, on the other hand, is advantageous with respect to avoiding sampling artefacts and obtaining extensive datasets with high time resolution and high precision.

Thus, the main hypothesis of this PhD project was that the odorous compounds emitted from livestock and industrial facilities, especially reduced sulphur compounds, can be effectively removed by the AOP-based reactors, including UV/TiO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3}/H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} scrubbers.

The objectives of the PhD project were:

1) To develop AOP-based reactors for odour abatement
2) To assess the removal efficiency of odorous compounds by using AOPs
3) To characterize the AOP processes in detail, including assessment of the role of hydroxyl radicals by PTR-MS
4) To investigate relevant by-products after the AOPs from the reactors
5) To identify possibilities for optimization of the AOP processes

To achieve these objectives, the studies were performed as follow:

1) Study of photocatalytic oxidation of reduced organic sulphur compounds in a bench-scale honeycomb monolith photocatalytic reactor (UV/TiO\textsubscript{2}) by means of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry - kinetic study, influencing factors in the reactor, by-product investigation and the risk assessment of the by-products
2) Characterisation of photocatalytic oxidation of nine odorous compounds (methanethiol (MT), dimethyl sulphide (DMS), dimethyl disulphide (DMDS), 1-butanol (1-BuOH), acetic acid (AA), propionic acid (PA), 1-butanoic acid (1-BA) and 1-valeric acid (1-VA)) in a pilot-scale honeycomb monolith photocatalytic reactor
3) DMS removal in a bubble reactor by using peroxone (O\textsubscript{3}/H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) process
4) Removal of gaseous reduced sulphur compounds (H\textsubscript{2}S, DMS and MT) in a wet scrubber coupled with peroxone process

1.4 Outline of the thesis

The present PhD-thesis consists of three parts. The first part is a review of the current knowledge regarding the emission of odorous compounds from livestock and industrial facilities and the abatement technologies. The review of emission of odorous compounds includes origin of the odour sources, and the odour description. The abatement technologies include challenges of current technologies and the potential of using AOPs. The second part focuses on the principle and the methodology applied in this study. The third part of the thesis is the experimental results obtained from own experiments. The experimental section is also divided into two sub-section: the assessment of photocatalytic reactor (Paper I - III), and the investigation of the wet scrubber combined with peroxone process (Paper IV - VI).

1.4.1 List of papers


Paper IV: Yao, H., A. Feilberg. Measurement of dissolved ozone in low concentration ranges and hydroxyl radical yield from ozonated deionised water, alkaline and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} solution. Paper draft.


Paper VI: Yao, H., M.M. Løkke, A. Feilberg. Effects of a packed-bed wet scrubber coupled with peroxone process (O\textsubscript{3} + H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) on removal of gaseous reduced sulphur compounds. Paper draft.
2. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs)

2.1 Photocatalysis (TiO$_2$/UV)

The basis of photocatalysis is the photo-excitation of a semiconductor material (such as e.g., TiO$_2$, ZnO, Fe$_2$O$_3$, CdS, and ZnS). Among the different semiconductor materials, Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) is the most common semiconductor used in photocatalysis [103]. Under near UV irradiation, TiO$_2$ can be excited and produce electrons in the conduction band ($e_{CB}$) and positively charged holes in a valence band ($h_{VB}^+$) (Reaction 1). The positively charged hole itself on TiO$_2$ has a high oxidation potential of 2.53 V [56] and so it can directly oxidize the odorous compounds absorbed on the surface of TiO$_2$. Moreover, the positively charged holes can further react with molecular water adsorbed on the surface of TiO$_2$ to produce hydroxyl (OH·) radicals (Reactions 2). At the meantime, the superoxide radical O$_2^-$ produced by a free electron and molecular oxygen (Reaction 3) can be partly oxidized to singlet oxygen (Reaction 4) which is also a potential oxidant to react with reduced sulphur compounds [104, 105].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TiO}_2 + h\nu &\rightarrow h^+ + e^- \quad \text{Reaction 1} \\
h^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{Reaction 2} \\
e^- + \text{O}_2 &\rightarrow \text{O}_2^- \quad \text{Reaction 3} \\
\text{O}_2^- + h^+ &\rightarrow ^1\text{O}_2 \quad \text{Reaction 4}
\end{align*}
\]

The advantages of the UV/TiO$_2$ system are:

1) TiO$_2$ can be excited at higher wavelengths (UV-A: 400 - 315 nm) than other UV oxidation processes [101, 99, 106], so it is not as energy consuming as UV-B (315 - 280 nm) or UV-C (280 - 100 nm). Furthermore, UV-A has less negative effect to the human health.

2) The photocatalytic oxidation process has been studied for many organic compounds in gas phase [11, 100, 107-110].

3) The gas can be treated in a short contact time due to the high reaction rate (Paper III) [111].

The challenges of the TiO$_2$ system are:

1) Currently, full-scale application tested in the livestock and industrial facilities has not been reported, but the pilot-scale photocatalytic reactor has been tested at realistic conditions (Paper III) [111].
2) UV/TiO$_2$ system is not fit for treating contaminated air containing high concentration of nitrogen compounds, such as trimethylamine, and sulphur compounds, such as dimethyl sulphide and dimethyl disulphide. Because their by-products, e.g. ammonium and sulphate ion, can accumulate on the TiO$_2$ surface and cause fouling of the active TiO$_2$ sites [112-111].

3) H$_2$S cannot be efficiently removed in the photocatalytic reactor with only TiO$_2$ (Paper III) [111], and TiO$_2$ has to be modified by doping other types of photocatalyst or metals on TiO$_2$ [115-117].

Therefore, TiO$_2$/UV photocatalytic oxidation is recommended in the indoor applications (e.g., office and apartment) and for treating contaminated air with low contaminant concentrations. This technology for odour abatement is promising, and it still needs further improvement, such as optimising the number of UV lamps in the photocatalytic reactor, so it can minimise energy consumption, or optimising the active surface area and the amount of the photocatalyst, so it can not only maintain a high removal efficiency of odorous compounds, but also minimise formation of unwanted by-products, which may be even worse than the original odorous compounds. Furthermore, additional studies are needed to evaluate the effect of the large-scale photocatalytic reactor in the real livestock and industrial facilities on removal of contaminants in gas phase.

2.2 Peroxone process

The standard redox potential of ozone is 2.706 V, which is also a relatively highly oxidising species (Table 2). However, ozone is a highly selective oxidant, which can only rapidly react with compounds containing electron-rich moieties, such as compounds containing double bonds, phenols, and amine moieties [98, 118]. Table 4 presents the comparison of reaction rate between OH· radicals and O$_3$ with odorous compounds in aqueous and gas phase, and for the same compound, the reactions with OH· radicals are all faster than the reactions with O$_3$ in both gas and aqueous phases. Therefore, it is expected that O$_3$-based AOP is an effective approach to treat the contaminated air by using both O$_3$ and OH· radicals. The reaction of O$_3$ with H$_2$O$_2$ is known as the peroxone process and it has been introduced mainly to purify drinking water [119]. Though the reaction between O$_3$ and H$_2$O$_2$ (k < 0.01 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) is slow [119], the reaction between O$_3$ and the anion of H$_2$O$_2$ (HO$_2^-$) (Reaction 5) is much faster (k = 2.8 × 10$^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) [120].

$$O_3 + HO_2^- \rightarrow O_2 + OH^- + O_2^*$$  
Reaction 5

Due to the faster reaction rate between O$_3$ and HO$_2^-$, it is expected that higher pH is more efficient for production of OH· radicals. Furthermore, Reaction 5 has been proposed since 1985 [119], and one mole of OH· radical could be produced from one mole of O$_3$. However, a recent study has proposed a more detailed kinetic scheme.
and the rate of OH· radical formation in the peroxone process has been found to be half of the rate of O₃ decay. (Reaction 6-8) [121].

\[
\begin{align*}
O_3 + \text{HO}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{HO}_5^- \quad \text{Reaction 6} \\
\text{HO}_5^- & \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- + \text{O}_3^- \quad \text{Reaction 7} \\
\text{HO}_2^- + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow 2\text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^- \quad \text{Reaction 8}
\end{align*}
\]

**Table 4** Comparison of reaction rate between OH· radicals and O₃ with odorous compounds in both aqueous and gas phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Aqueous phase</th>
<th>Gas phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k /OH· (L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹)</td>
<td>k /O₃ (cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>9.70×10⁷</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>1.30×10¹⁰</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole</td>
<td>3.20×10¹⁰</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Methyl indole</td>
<td>3.30×10¹⁰</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>1.50×10¹⁰</td>
<td>3.00×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS₂</td>
<td>8.00×10⁹</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>6.00×10⁹√e</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.00×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS</td>
<td>1.90×10¹⁰</td>
<td>4.00×10⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMDS</td>
<td>1.70×10¹⁰</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMTS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>1.00×10⁹</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>3.60×10⁹</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>8.30×10⁸</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>2.20×10⁹</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-PrOH</td>
<td>2.80×10⁹</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-BuOH</td>
<td>4.20×10⁹</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>1.30×10⁸</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1.50×10⁷</td>
<td>3.00×10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>6.20×10⁸</td>
<td>4.00×10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-BA</td>
<td>2.20×10⁹</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phenol  | 6.60×10^9  | 1.30×10^7  | 2.81×10^{11}  | -  
4-Methyl phenol  | 1.20×10^{10}  | 1.50×10^2  | 4.96×10^{11}  | 4.72×10^{19}  

\[ \text{[59-91, 122-137]} \] \[92\]

b TMA - trimethylamine, MT - methanethiol, ET - ethanethiol, DMS - dimethyl sulphide, DMDS - dimethyl disulphide, DMTS - dimethyl trisulphide, MeOH - methanol, EtOH - ethanol, 1-PrOH - 1-propanol, 1-BuOH - 1-butanol, FA - formic acid, AA - acetic acid, PA - propionic acid, 1-BA - 1-butanoic acid

c It is the reaction rate constant between OH- radical and methanethiol ion (CH_3S^-)

The **advantages** of using peroxone process are:

1) The peroxone process is more effective at removing odorous compounds than O_3 or H_2O_2 alone, because the peroxone process can produce OH- radicals, rather than just O_3, and peroxone also allows a lower using dosage of O_3, which is desirable for reducing costs.

2) According to the literature reviews, peroxone appears to be the most tested and applied AOPs in purification of drinking water and wastewater, relative to the other AOPs [93-99].

3) In this PhD project, it has been demonstrated that both H_2S and DMS could be effectively removed at pH level above 8.0 by peroxone process (Paper V and VI).

The **challenges** and limitations of the peroxone process are:

1) Although peroxone process has been studied on water treatment, there are limited studies aimed at purifying contaminated air by using peroxone process.

2) Improper use of O_3 can cause an excessive emission which is harmful for the environment.

3) Wet scrubber coupled with the peroxone process is a relatively common approach for the water treatment when applying AOPs. However, it is a challenge to find proper packing materials for the gas treatment which is required to be surface inactive, and do not react with O_3, H_2O_2 or OH- radicals.

Overall, the technique of wet scrubber coupled with peroxone process for removing odorous compounds is promising. However, it requires further optimization to be applied in the real situation. Additional studies are necessary to improve the technique, such as to characterise the process with respect to degradation of a range of gaseous compounds, to investigate the role of OH- radicals at different conditions, to increase the utilization rate of O_3, and to find proper packing materials in the wet scrubber.
3. Analytical methods

This PhD-thesis includes a set of process studies, which were conducted with laboratory experiments. In the following section, the applied methodologies are discussed.

3.1 Gas analysis

The measurement of odorous compounds is the main target in this study. A variety of methods have been used [9-13, 108, 138]. Each method has strengths and weaknesses that need to be matched with the objectives of a particular study. Here, only methods relevant to the present PhD study are discussed.

3.1.1 Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS)

Proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) is used for online measurements of odorous compounds, and the Online PTR-MS is a time-resolved (< 1 s) instrument with very low detection limit on measuring odorous compounds (pptv level). Furthermore, the PTR-MS has been applied in measuring odour emission and monitoring the reaction processes [23, 108, 139].

In PTR-MS, protonated water ($H_3O^+$) is used as a chemical ionisation reagent to ionise compounds ($R$) as Reaction 9. Therefore, the molecules are protonated, accelerated by an electric field, and separated according to their mass-to-charge ratio ($m/z$). For example, the molecule weight of dimethyl sulphide (DMS) is 62, and the concentration of DMS is the concentration of $m/z$ 63, which represents protonated DMS.

$$R + H_3O^+ \rightarrow RH^+ + H_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Reaction 9}

The PTR-MS consists of 1) an ion source to produce the $H_3O^+$ ions, 2) a drift tube reactor, where the proton-transfer reaction takes place, 3) a quadrupole mass spectrometer for the detection of the ions (Figure 2) [21]. To be noticed, the proton affinity of water is 691 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and only compounds with proton affinities above 691 kJ mol$^{-1}$ can be detected by PTR-MS, which makes it impossible for this technique to detect the oxidation end products, such as CO$_2$ and SO$_2$, due to their low proton affinities. In addition, the chemical ionisation in PTR-MS results in low fragmentation and it is difficult to distinguish the isomers or the compounds with similar molecule weight. Therefore, for known compounds, PTR-MS is a time-resolved instrument to monitor the emission or reaction processes. However, for unknown compounds, it is difficult to identify the composition of the air by only using PTR-MS and supplementary measurements, such as GC/MS and other methods, are necessary to assist the identification. In this PhD-project, PTR-MS was mainly used for monitoring the effect of reactors on removal of single odorous compounds (Paper I, III, V and VI). Furthermore, the kinetics (Paper I)
and the by-products (Paper II and III) from photocatalytic reactor were investigated. There are two modes in PTR-MS, multiple ion detection (MID) mode and full-scan mode. MID mode is used for monitoring single compounds, while full-scan mode is normally applied for detecting unknown air sample.

![Schematic drawing of the Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) [21]](image)

Figure 2  Schematic drawing of the Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) [21]

Besides the limitation on measuring odorous compounds with lower proton affinities and with similar molecular weights, there are also some odorous compounds for which the proton affinity is close to water. The presence of water from the sampling air and the ion source may enhance the influence of the back reaction between RH⁺ and water (Reaction 10). Consequently, the concentration is underestimated. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the humidity dependence of the PTR-MS response towards those compounds and correct the measured concentration to the real value according to this humidity dependence. In this study, H₂S has a proton affinity of 712 kJ mol⁻¹ close to water (691 kJ mol⁻¹). The humidity dependence of H₂S was investigated and a method for correction of the signal was presented [23]. In Figure 3, the expected concentration of a gas standard (C_{std}) relative to the observed concentration (C_{obs}) of H₂S was related to the humidity of the sample air (monohydrate cluster (H₂O⁺·H₂O, m/z 37) relative to the H₃O⁺ (measured as the O¹⁸ isotope, m/z 19). Furthermore, formaldehyde was produced as a by-product from photocatalytic oxidation of reduced sulphur compounds (Paper II), and a humidity dependence of the PTR-MS response towards formaldehyde is also necessary to quantify its concentration.

\[ \text{RH}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{R} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \]  

Reaction 10
Figure 3  Relative response of PTR-MS to H$_2$S as a function of humidity at concentration of 740 ppbv (C$_{std}$) expressed as the concentration of the diluted gas from the standard gas cylinder by filtered compressed air to the observed concentration (C$_{obs}$). The full line represents the empirical equation fitted to the data. (cps - count per second) (Paper VI)

The cluster ions (H$_3$O$^+$·(H$_2$O)$_n$) can also react with odorous compounds, so they may cause interferences of the sensitivity during the measurement by PTR-MS. However, in these studies the total water cluster was all kept below 5%, and the primary ion was not affected. The formation of the cluster ions in the drift tube reactor is related to the drift tube voltage and the parameter E/N value in units of Townsend (1 Td = 10$^{-17}$ V cm$^2$), where E is the electric field and N is the total molecule numbers in the drift tube. Generally, H$_3$O$^+$ ions in PTR-MS dominate a drift voltage of 600 V (100 Td), H$_3$O$^+$·H$_2$O ions dominate between 350 and 600 V (60 - 100 Td), and H$_3$O$^+$·(H$_2$O)$_2$ ions dominate below 350 V (60Td) [21].

In addition, the sensitivity can also be affected by the transmission efficiencies for RH$^+$ and H$_3$O$^+$ ions. The transmission efficiencies are determined by 1) extraction efficiency of ions from the drift tube reactor into the mass spectrometry, 2) detection efficiency of each mass in the electron multiplier. The transmission efficiency can be estimated by a standard gas covering a relevant m/z range. In this work, transmission efficiency was checked regularly during the measurement period with a mixture of 14 aromatic compounds between m/z 79 and m/z 181 in this project. The concentrations of odorous compounds were calculated based on proton transfer rate constants as described by Feilberg et al. [23].
3.1.2 Gas Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)

Gas Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) is a very common method for measuring the odorous compounds, and is used in a combination with adsorbent tubes in this PhD-project. Odorous compounds that trapped on the adsorbent tubes are thermally desorbed, separated by gas chromatography and then detected in a mass spectrometer. The NIST database is normally used for identification of compounds, and the possible compound was considered to be identified when the match rate was higher than 90% and when the abundance of the characteristic ions were in good agreement with the library spectrum. GC/MS is an offline method with a limited sample capacity, since a typical GC/MS run takes 45 minutes. Some compounds with high reactivity might be oxidised or converted to other compounds during sampling and analysis based on solid adsorbent tubes [5]. The main advantage of GC/MS is the chromatographic separation prior to the MS detection. GC/MS was used in Paper II for assisting PTR-MS in investigating the by-products from the photocatalytic reactor.

3.1.3 Gas Chromatography with Sulphur Chemiluminescence Detector (GC/SCD)

Gas chromatography with sulphur chemiluminescence detector (GC/SCD) is a specific detector for measuring the sulphur-containing odorous compounds, e.g. H₂S, SO₂, DMS, DMDS and DMTS. After the separation by GC, sulphur compounds are combusted (>1800 °C) in a furnace in SCD by a hydrogen/air burner and converted to sulphur monoxide (SO) (Reaction 11). SO can further react with ozone (O₃) in a chemiluminescence reaction to form an excited state of SO₂* which can be detected by a photomultiplier (reaction 12). The advantage of GC/SCD is that 1) the same amount of sulphur gives the same response independently of the molecular structure (equimolarity), so if the retention time is known, the sulphur compounds can be quantified, 2) the detection limit of GC/SCD is also low (typically 2-10 ppb). To investigate the by-products from the wet scrubber combined with a peroxone process on removal of reduced sulphur compounds, gas samples were collected form the gas outlet from the scrubber into the Tedlar bags, and directly analysed by GC/SCD (Paper VI).

\[
\text{S-compound} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{SO} + \text{Other Products} \quad \text{Reaction 11}
\]
\[
\text{SO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{SO}_2^* + \text{O}_2 + \text{h} (300-400 \text{ nm}) \quad \text{Reaction 12}
\]

3.2 Liquid analysis

During the process of the gas purification in the PhD-project, liquid analyses were also carried out for understanding the reaction processes in liquid phase.
3.2.1 Dissolved O$_3$

So far, several methods have been used to measure the dissolved ozone in the solution: UV spectrophotometric method, DPD (N,N-diethyl-$p$-phenylenediamine) colourimetric method, indigo colourimetric method, iodometric titration method, oxidation/reduction potential (ORP), and dissolved ozone sensor [140-151]. However, no single method or standard unit of measurement is consistently used by the scientific community or industry, which makes it difficult to compare and standardise dissolved ozone measurements. Furthermore, there is a gap on monitoring the ozone decay in e.g. H$_2$O$_2$ solution while removing the odorous compounds in the air. Therefore, six methods for measuring the dissolved ozone were evaluated with the aim of finding and verifying a time-resolved and accurate method to measure the dissolved ozone in low concentrations (< 20 µM).

The details of the six methods were:

1) UV spectrophotometric method [142]. Dissolved ozone in pure water can be directly measured by UV-Visible spectrophotometer, since ozone can be absorbed by ultraviolet light at 258 nm with an extinction coefficient of 2950 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$. Several studies have utilised the method to evaluate the decomposition of ozone by UV/vis adsorption [143, 144, 150]. The method is only suitable for relatively pure solutions with insignificant interference from other compounds.

2) Indigo colourimetric method [142, 152]. The advantage of the indigo colourimetric method is that it is sensitive, precise, specific and easy to handle. The principle is that ozone can rapidly decolourise indigo under acidic condition. The decrease in absorbance is linear with increasing concentration of ozone. The proportionality constant at 600 nm is 0.42 ± 0.01 cm$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$ L$^{-1}$ ($\Delta E = 20000 / M \cdot cm$). H$_2$O$_2$ could also be the interference. However, the decolourisation of indigo by H$_2$O$_2$ is much slower than that by ozone, and H$_2$O$_2$ may not affect the measurement of O$_3$ if analysing the ozonated solution within 6 hours. This method is the most popular method for measuring dissolved ozone in recent researches [149, 153-157].

3) DPD colourimetric method [141, 145]. The DPD colourimetric method is normally used to measure the chlorine residual and H$_2$O$_2$ concentration. For ozone detection, the principle is that ozone reacts with DPD to form a red-violet dye in weakly acidic solution which can be determined photometrically (550 nm) with an absorption coefficient of 0.225 cm$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$ L$^{-1}$ for aqueous ozone. The DPD method is fast and simple. However, H$_2$O$_2$ will be interference when the concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ is higher than 1.5 µM, because it may bleach the red-violet colour.

4) Iodometric titration method [142, 158, 159]. This method is also primarily used to measure chlorine residuals and has only been used to measure dissolved ozone in solutions in early research. In the ozonated solution, ozone can react with potassium iodide (KI) at pH ≤ 8 to form free iodine (Reaction...
13). The free iodine can be titrated by a standard solution of sodium thiosulphate with starch as the indicator due to the blue colour formed by free iodine and starch (Reaction 14).

\[
2\text{KI} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{KOH} + \text{I}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{Reaction 13}
\]

\[
\text{I}_2 + 2\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{NaI} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_4\text{O}_6 \quad \text{Reaction 14}
\]

5) Oxidation/reduction potential (ORP) [151]. Redox potential is an indirect method for measuring the dissolved ozone. The method does not allow direct quantification of ozone and the results are given in mV, according to the oxidising property of ozone. Therefore, it is not ozone specific. If the redox potential is solely governed by ozone, the method can in principle be used for ozone measurement by proper calibration e.g. with a reference method.

6) Dissolved ozone sensor [147, 155]. The dissolved ozone sensor is an on-line and electrochemical device for monitoring ozone concentration at low level (µM). A gas permeable polymer membrane is used to separate the heart of the sensor from the sample, and the diffusion rate of ozone through the membrane is proportional to the partial pressure of ozone in the sample. The concentration in µg L\(^{-1}\) can be directly recorded by data log.

3.2.2 Sulphate analysis (SO\(_4^{2-}\))

Sulphate concentration was measured in the test of the wet scrubber for the calculation of the S balance in the study (Paper VI). The principle is that sulphate ions can react with barium ions to form barium sulphate particles. The resulting turbidity is measured by spectrophotometry at 445 nm (turbidimetric method). It is a requirement that the solution does not contain any particles and sample filtration may therefore be necessary. The method is analogous to APHA 4500-SO\(_4^{2-}\) E [142].
4. Description of the reactors used in the study

Several reactors combined with AOPs were designed based on the existing literature on removal of reduced sulphur compounds. The strengths and weaknesses relevant to the study are discussed.

4.1 Photocatalytic reactor

Three types of photocatalytic reactors are often applied, which are: plate type, honeycomb type and annular type reactors (Figure 4) [160]. The plate type reactor is the simplest, and it has been widely used on removal of odorous compounds due to the low pressure drop across the reactor [161-164]. However, a long residence time has to be maintained in order to obtain a high removal, presumably because the average distance from the gas phase to the photoactive surface is relatively long. It has previously been found that the mass transfer is not limited in the honeycomb reactor [165]. However, due to the typically short residence time, the removal performance is restrained by the capability of the adsorption and the reaction rate between the odorous compounds with oxidants generated on the surface of the TiO$_2$ filter [160]. The annular type reactor is the second-most applied photocatalytic reactor in research, and the removal of reduced sulphur compounds in these reactors has been reported to be relatively high [10, 12, 166]. However, the mass transfer is a bottleneck in the annular reactor. Turbulence of the air is a necessity for maximising the mass transfer on the TiO$_2$ surface, which may increase the pressure drop in the system, and due to the resulting economic limitation, it is difficult to use in the livestock and industrial facilities.
The honeycomb-type photocatalytic reactor was selected to assess the removal of odorous compounds in this PhD-project. In most studies, photocatalytic oxidation of reduced sulphur compounds was carried out at ppm level (ten to hundreds ppmv) [11, 12, 113, 162, 166, 167] for relatively long residence time (from several seconds to several minutes) for adsorbing more contaminants on the TiO$_2$ surface [10-12, 113, 162, 166, 168]. However, in the practical situation such as in livestock and industrial facilities, the concentrations of contaminants are in the range from sub-ppb to ppb level, and the air velocity is usually high so the residence time becomes short (< 0.5 s) if realistically sized filters are to be used [23, 32, 101]. Furthermore, photocatalytic oxidation is not suitable for treating contaminated air with high concentrations of sulphur compounds, because their by-products, such as sulphate (SO$_4^{2-}$) or methane sulphonic acid, may bind to the surface of the photocatalyst and cause the deactivation of the photocatalyst [11]. However, Demeestere et al. reported that low concentrations of DMS (< 3 ppmv) would not deactivate the TiO$_2$, and relative humidity between 18.9% and 52.9% would not affect the removal of DMS either [11]. The photocatalysis technology could therefore be a viable solution for the abatement of reduced sulphur compounds emissions as a post-stage treatment after air.
scrubbers. Paper I - III demonstrated that honeycomb type photocatalytic reactor was a feasible reactor to remove reduced sulphur compounds in relatively short residence time (< 0.30 s) and low concentrations (20 - 300 ppbv).

4.2 Bubble reactor

In most previous studies [169-171], a bubble column reactor was used as a wet scrubber coupled with AOPs. Therefore, a bubble reactor (Figure 5) coupled with peroxone process was tested in this PhD-project as a pre-experiment in order to assess the effect of the wet scrubber on removal of DMS (Paper V). This reactor has demonstrated high removal efficiency on DMS. In order to improve the performance of such reactor, the following issues can be considered: 1) reducing the cost of establishment to apply the bubble reactor in livestock and industrial facilities due to the economic limitation, 2) possibly increasing the contact area to improve the mass transfer in the bubble reactor and to avoid the high pressure drop, 3) large scale of bubble reactor would be required in the situation with high air flow rate.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** Schematic drawing of bubble reactor (MFC - mass flow controller, DMS - dimethyl sulphide, PTR-MS - proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry) (Paper IV)

4.3 Wet scrubber

Several setups of wet scrubbers have been reported. Biard *et al.* tested a wet compact scrubber packed with wire mesh packing structure and coupled with a peroxone process [156, 172]. In their system, O₃ was first dissolved
into tap water, and then mixed with the H$_2$O$_2$ solution (10.42 mM) right before it was sprayed into the wet scrubber. The mass transfer of the target compound (DMDS) was enhanced compared with the spray solution without H$_2$O$_2$ in the short residence time. However, the removal efficiency of DMDS was increased from 16% to 34%. Larson and Adams (1999) presented another wet scrubber setup coupled with the peroxide process. They used 316 stainless steel Pro-Pak as packing material, and directly injected O$_3$ in the concentration of $1.9 \times 10^3$ ppmv into the air before the air flow passed through the wet scrubber and they used concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ (0.74 mM) in the spray solution. With this setup, both removal efficiency and mass transfer of toluene, benzene and xylene were all enhanced when pH of trickling solution was increased [154]. Therefore, direct injection of O$_3$ was used in this PhD project on assessing the removal of reduced sulphur compounds in the packed-bed wet scrubber (Figure 6) (Paper VI).

Figure 6 Schematic drawing of wet scrubber combined with peroxide process (MFC - mass flow controller, PTR-MS - proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry) (Paper VI)
5. **General discussion of Paper I – VI**

The experimental of the present PhD-thesis is presented in two sub-section: the assessment of photocatalytic reactor (Paper I - III), and the investigation of the wet scrubber combined with peroxone process (Paper IV - VI). The six papers can be seen in their full length in the appendix. In the following section, the main results from paper I - VI will be summarised and discussed.

5.1 **Photocatalysis (Paper I - III)**

In most of the studies on assessing the photocatalytic reactor, the air flow rates were low with a relatively long residence time [101]. However, in the practical situation such as in livestock and industrial facilities, the concentrations of contaminants are in the range from sub-ppbv to ppbv level, and the air velocity is usually high so that the residence time becomes short (< 0.5 s) [23, 32, 101]. Further studies of photocatalytic oxidation under more realistic conditions are required. A bench-scale of honeycomb type monolith photocatalytic reactor ([Figure 7](#)) was tested in the laboratory (Paper I - II). The temperature and the relative humidity were relatively stable (24.0 ± 0.6 °C and 35 - 45%). Three reduced organic sulphur compounds were assessed, which were methanethiol (MT), dimethyl sulphide (DMS), and dimethyl disulphide (DMDS). Three tests were carried out in the reactor: 1) kinetic studies of the three sulphur compounds in the reactor at different UV intensities and air flow rates, 2) Influencing factors on the performance of photocatalytic reactor (initial concentration, UV intensity and air flow rate), and 3) investigation of by-products, their possible pathways and the risk assessment of the by-products of the three sulphur compounds. For experiment 1) and 2), MID mode of PTR-MS was used to monitor the single compounds at inlet and outlet of the reactor. For experiment 3), both PTR-MS and GC-MS were used to investigate the by-products of the three reduced sulphur compounds, and a full scan mode was used in PTR-MS.
Figure 7 Schematics of the photocatalytic oxidation reactor in bench scale and the photo of the TiO$_2$ coated ceramic filter

The Langmuir-Hinshelwood model was used for the kinetic studies (Figure 8) and the intercepts of each trend line represent the Langmuir adsorption constants ($K$), and $K_{\text{DMDS}} > K_{\text{DMS}} > K_{\text{MT}}$ which is in agreement with the different saturated vapour pressure (MT > DMS > DMDS). Furthermore, due to the accurate and time-resolved measurement by PTR-MS, the uncertainties of the kinetic studies in Langmuir-Hinshelwood model were less than 20% in most cases. On the test of air flow rate dependence, both removals of MT and DMS were not significantly influenced by the air flow rate. In contrast, DMDS was much more sensitive to the higher air flow rates (> 216.0 L min$^{-1}$).

Figure 8 Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic model fitting results in the honeycomb-type photocatalytic reactor for the reduced organic sulphur compounds at the same UV intensity (12.7 mW cm$^{-2}$): $\bullet$ - methanethiol, $\blacksquare$ - dimethyl sulphide, $\blacktriangle$ - dimethyl disulphide (Paper I)
Removal efficiency of MT and DMS was not significantly affected at different initial concentrations at the same UV intensity or the same air flow rate, and the removal efficiency was only significantly lower than at full UV intensity when only 3 out of 10 UV lamps was used in the photocatalytic reactor ($P < 0.001$). Removal efficiency of DMDS was significantly decreased when the number of UV lamps was reduced ($P < 0.01$) or the air flow increased. Furthermore, removal efficiency of DMDS was the lowest among the three sulphur compounds at the same condition. Possibly it is related to the fact that 1) DMDS has a lower negative atomic charge on each of the sulphur atoms (-0.177 e) compared to the single sulphur atom in DMS (-0.300 e), so DMS could react faster with the positively charged hole [173-175], 2) the redox potential of DMS was 1.79 V versus a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) in CH$_3$CN, which is higher than that of DMDS (1.15 V). Although it was in a different matrix, it could also indicate that the electron transfer of DMS is higher than that of DMDS [10], 3) singlet oxygen (Reaction 4) could add on the sulphide, which leads to the formation of thiosulfinate and thiosulfonate. However, this reaction occurs less readily for disulphides compared to sulphides [173]. Therefore, DMDS is less reactive.

PTR-MS has significantly improved the identification of the by-products of the three sulphur compounds with assistance of GC/MS. Formations of the by-products could be traced by adjusting initial concentrations of the odorous compounds, and the concentration of the m/z corresponding to the by-products increased with the increase of initial concentration. Figure 9 shows an example for DMS. Some specific by-products of photocatalytic degradation of each sulphur compound are suggested for the first time in this study mainly according to PTR-MS: MT, S-methyl methanethiosulfinate and S-methyl methanethiosulfonate were formed from photocatalytic degradation of both DMS and DMDS. The photocatalytic degradation of DMDS also tentatively produce methylthiomethanol, S-methyl thioformate for DMS, and dimethyl trisulphide and methyl(methylthio)methyl disulphide. Moreover, an odor activity value (OAV) was introduced to assess the risk to the environment of these by-products, which is the concentration of each by-product ($C_{by\text{-product}}$) divided by the recommended odor threshold value of each by-product ($OTV_{by\text{-product}}$) (Table 1). It was concluded that photocatalytic degradation of MT and DMDS resulted in less environmental impact, while photocatalytic degradation of DMS did not change the environmental impact significantly due to the high production of MT for which the odour threshold value is very low (0.07 ppbv). Furthermore, it was found that formaldehyde was produced from the photocatalytic oxidation of all of the three reduced sulphur compounds, and formaldehyde is a carcinogen. However, based on the reaction rate, both formaldehyde and MT can react with OH· radicals in relative high reaction rate. It is suggested as a possible solution to connect one or more additional TiO$_2$ filter in series or increase the residence time of the target odorous compounds to remove MT and formaldehyde produced.
Figure 9 Relations between removed dimethyl sulphide and the production of their by-products measured by PTR-MS with different initial concentrations

A pilot-scale of the honeycomb monolith photocatalytic reactor (Figure 10) was tested in the laboratory with three TiO$_2$ filers installed (Paper III). The processing condition applied in the pilot-scale reactor was closer to the livestock facilities. Eight typical odorous compounds found from livestock facilities were tested with similar concentration, which were methanethiol (MT), dimethyl sulphide (DMS) and dimethyl disulphide (DMDS), one alcohol (1-butanol), and four volatile fatty acids (VFAs) (acetic acid (AA), propionic acid (PA), 1-butanolic acid (BA) and 1-valerolic acid (1-VA). The removal efficiency was tested at high air flow rate (up to 1000 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$). Removal efficiency above 80% was observed at a lower flow rate (< 200 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$) and low initial concentrations (in ppbv level) in all cases. Removal efficiencies were significantly reduced when the flow rate was increased above 500 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ ($P < 0.05$), but were not significantly affected by adjusting the relative humidity within the range of 40% to 80%. Therefore, humidification of the air would not improve the removal efficiencies of odorous compounds from livestock facilities and the highest relative humidity in livestock facilities would not be able to deactivate the TiO$_2$ filter. Cutting the number of UV lamps by 50% did not alter the removal efficiencies of the volatile odorous compounds significantly. In addition, removal of H$_2$S was also tested, but this odorant could not be effectively removed. It is suggested to be improved by either reducing the high moisture [176] or changing the characteristic of TiO$_2$ filter, such as doping other metal or catalysts on TiO$_2$ [115, 177].
Six methods for measuring the dissolved ozone were compared in the present PhD-project, and a dissolved ozone sensor was found as the most accurate and time-resolved measurement (Paper IV). Furthermore, the dissolved ozone sensor was used on monitoring the ozone concentration in a bubbled reactor (Figure 5), at the meantime PTR-MS was used for monitoring the removal of DMS in the bubbled reactor coupled with peroxone process. The removal efficiency of DMS was above 90% (Figure 11). Therefore, it is approved that peroxone reaction is a potential approach to purify the contaminated air (Paper V).
According to the result from bubbled reactor, a packed-bed wet scrubber was designed, and an air flow rate resulting in an empty bed residence time of 7.8 s was applied with low initial concentration of H$_2$S (750 ppbv), DMS (110 ppbv) and MT (105 ppbv). Two types of materials was tested as packing materials, which were light expanded clay aggregate pellets (Leca®, Saint-Gobins Weber A/S, Randers, Denmark) and plastic media (Bioflow9, Φ9 × 7 mm, Hansa Engineering AB, Sweden). Both have been used in biofiltration, especially Leca® [49, 178]. However, limited DMS was removed when using Leca® as packing materials, and possibly Leca® can react with O$_3$, H$_2$O$_2$ and OH• radicals. In contrast, Bioflow9 has large specific surface area (800 m$^2$/m$^3$) and the surface is polyethylene, which does not react with both O$_3$ and H$_2$O$_2$. Using Bioflow9, both H$_2$S and DMS could be effectively removed at high O$_3$ flow injected (Figure 12). High pH value increases the removal of H$_2$S. However, MT was not removed efficiently by this process (maximum 23.5%; Figure 13), and possibly O$_3$ does not react readily with MT, and the production of OH• radicals was not sufficient to degrade MT. It should be noted that OH• radicals can also react with O$_3$. In conclusion, OH• radical was not the principal reactant in removal of the sulphur compounds in the packed-bed wet scrubber tested in this work.

![Figure 12](image.png)

**Figure 12** Removal efficiency of H$_2$S and dimethyl sulphide (DMS) in the packed-bed wet scrubber. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the replicates, and the trend lines in H$_2$S figure are followed a logarithmic regression
Figure 13 Removal efficiency of methanethiol in the packed-bed wet scrubber. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the replicates (Paper VI)
6. Conclusions

The study from this PhD project is a step towards a better understanding of odour abatement by advanced oxidation processes; meanwhile, it is also a step towards the application of advanced oxidation processes in the livestock and industrial facilities. The presented results have confirmed the hypothesis that advanced oxidation processes have the potential of removing odorous compounds, but several challenges are also apparent. Based on the present PhD-thesis, the following can be concluded:

1) Photocatalytic monolith reactor is effective on removal of alcohol, carboxylic acids, methanethiol and dimethyl sulphide, and different initial concentrations and residence time > 0.1 s did not significantly affect the removal efficiency. However, removal of dimethyl disulphide was sensitive to the high air flow rate. Furthermore, UV intensity affected the photocatalysis reaction rate, especially to less reactive compounds (DMDS). However, only limited H₂S can be removed in the photocatalytic reactor in this study. Therefore, the application of the investigated technology is best suited for emissions that do not contain H₂S.

2) Data obtained from PTR-MS can be used to determine the Langmuir-Hinshelwood parameters for highly volatile compounds with low adsorption and the uncertainties were < 20%. It is the first time to provide the uncertainty of the Langmuir-Hinshelwood parameters based on the experimental data, and it is also demonstrated that the measurement by PTR-MS has relatively high precision and reproducibility.

3) By-products from photocatalytic reactor can be investigated by PTR-MS with complementation of GC/MS. PTR-MS can detect odorous compounds with high reactivity, such as MT, while GC/MS can detect more species unambiguously due to the chromatographic separation. Therefore, a number of by-products are proposed in this study for the first time, such as methylthiomethanol, S-methyl thioformate for DMS, and dimethyl trisulphide and methyl(methylthio)methyl disulphide for DMDS. It should be aware that photocatalytic degradation of DMS did not change the environmental impact significantly compared to DMDS, due to the higher production of MT. In addition, formaldehyde was produced from photocatalytic oxidation of MT, DMS and DMDS.

4) A dissolved ozone sensor, based on a gas permeable polymer membrane, has been proved to be an accurate and time-resolved measurement on measuring low concentration of dissolved ozone.

5) The perozone process (O₃ + H₂O₂) is approved as a potential approach to purify the contaminated air in the bubble reactor. However, in the perozone-based packed-bed wet scrubber, the removal of H₂S and DMS were mainly due to the direct reaction with O₃, and OH· radicals was only partially contributed (~20%). MT could not be effectively removed because of the slow reaction between MT and O₃. Therefore, further research is necessary to increase the production of OH· radicals in the packed-bed wet scrubber.
In addition, the O₃ emission at the outlet was relatively high and needs to be minimised in order for the process to be used in practise.
7. Further research perspectives

The present PhD-thesis has demonstrated the possibility to apply advanced oxidation processes on odour abatement, and PTR-MS is a promising method to monitor the reaction processes. However, there are still some challenges that have to be addressed. Further studies can develop the reactors with on-site test for both photocatalysis and peroxone process. Based on this PhD-thesis, the following research perspectives can be identified:

1) A modification of TiO₂ filter needs to be developed based on the honeycomb photocatalytic reactor in this study, so it can also effectively remove H₂S.

2) Since the production of methanethiol (MT) and formaldehyde from photocatalytic oxidation were high, and both MT and formaldehyde is possible to react with OH⁻ radicals at high reaction rate, another TiO₂ filters are necessary to install in the reactor in order to minimise the hazardous and odorous by-products.

3) A further step towards the application of advanced oxidation processes in the livestock and industrial facilities can be assessing the effect of photocatalytic reactor on purifying mixed odour gas stream.

4) More researches are necessary to increase the production of OH⁻ radicals in the packed-bed wet scrubber, and to utilise O₃ sufficiently.
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